

## Two populations, two strategies and a conflict: An evolutionary approach

Elvio Accinelli\*

Filipe Martins †

Luis Quintas ‡

### Abstract

In this paper we study the mathematical foundations of different evolutionary models that analyze the evolution of a society composed of two populations with antagonistic interests. Populations are involved in a conflict whose solution depends on the action chosen by each individual. None of them are able to impose a solution on the other population. Two individuals, one from each population, are randomly chosen to play a game. In a strategic way, each individual of each population, must choose one of two feasible strategies. The solution obtained for each population will be the result of the aggregated action of the individuals.

## 1 Introduction

Several topics of the social sciences and in particular of the economic theory, have been modeled considering two populations with opposing interests confronted in a conflict whose solution depends on the strategic choice of each one of the individuals that make up this population. In their simplest form each of these individuals choose between two possible strategies.

The choice results in the strategy that each individual understands as the best for their own interest in the context of the conflict in which they are immersed. As long as the conflict is repeated in period after period, they will be forced to choose a different strategy at each stage from the one previously chosen or to continue with the previous behavior. According to the strategic choice of the individuals of each population, it will be divided into two subpopulations, whose real sizes are modified according to the choice made by them. That is, each subpopulation, within each population, will be characterized by the strategy followed by those who compose it. A generalized version of this approach can be found in [Borkar V. S., Jain, S and Rangarajan, G].

There is a wide literature in which applications of the dynamics of the replicator to population genetics and in general to biology are analyzed. An interesting survey on this topic is introduced in [Hofbauer, J., and Sigmund, K.]. In this work we are interested in the applications to society, in particular, in social conflicts whose evolution can be analyzed using this dynamic and whose results can be modified from a successful choice of model parameter values.

---

\*Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, Mexico. E-mail: elvio.accinelli@eco.uaslp.mx

†Universidade de Porto. E-mail: philip.m90@hotmail.com

‡Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Argentina. E-mail: lu6quintas@gmail.com

The evolution of a society composed by two populations facing a conflict as above characterized has been modeled using evolutionary games theory, and in particular the dynamics of the replicator. Some works following this approach are for instance, [Accinelli, E.; Covarrubias, E.], [Accinelli E. y O. Salas.], [Accinelli, E.; Sánchez Carrera, E.; Policardo, L.; Salas, O.], [Accinelli E.; Carrera, E.] and [Accinelli, E.; Martins, F.; Oviedo, J.; Pinto, A.; and Quintas, L.]. Extension of this theory to other games are analyzed in [Cressman, R. and Tao, Y].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we consider the model for a two populations game. In section we introduce the replicator dynamics. We analyze the stability of its equilibria and their relationship with the Nash equilibria. In section we give the final remarks.

## 2 The model

The society consists of two populations denoted by  $I$  and  $II$ . Individuals in each population can choose between two possible strategies. According with the choice made by each individual of each population, they will form a subpopulation. These two populations are immersed in a conflict that is repeated continuously and no population is able to impose a solution to the other.

The conflict develops as follows. Each individual in each population faces an opponent from the other population, both are chosen at random. This couple of individuals play a game. The individual payoffs depend on the strategy followed by each individual of this couple. The results for each population is the aggregate payoff obtained by each individual. The game is repeated continuously. At the end of each stage each player in each population can redefine their strategy. According with this election, the relative sizes of each subpopulation will be modified. The total amount of individuals in each population are assumed constant, however the percentage distribution between sub-populations may change over the time.

At each time the model can be summarized by a normal form game, represented in the following table:

	$II_1$	$II_2$
$I_1$	$a_{11}, b_{11}$	$a_{12}, b_{12}$
$I_2$	$a_{21}, b_{21}$	$a_{22}, b_{22}$

By  $a_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  we denote the payoff for a player of the population  $I$  playing the  $i$ -th strategy facing and individual of population  $II$  playing the  $j$ -th strategy. Analogously the payoff for the individuals of population  $II$  are denoted by  $b_{ij}$ .

According to Von Neumann Morgenstern's theory, the strategic choice of each individual will be made for the expected value of each possible pure strategy to be chosen. We denote by  $P_{Ij}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  the probability that an individual in the population  $I$  will choose the strategy  $j$ . Similarly  $P_{IIj}$  will represent the probability that an individual in the population  $II$  will choose the strategy  $j$ . It follows that  $p_{I2} = 1 - p_{I1}$  and  $p_{II2} = 1 - p_{II1}$ .

It is assumed that these probabilities correspond to the percentage distribution of individuals in each population following, at any given time, each of the pure strategies. That is to say that  $p_{ij} = N_{Ij}/N_I$  where  $N_{Ij}$  denote the number of individuals that in the  $I$  population follow the strategy  $j$  at any given time, and  $N_I$  is the amount (constant) of individuals in the population  $I$ .

We make similar assumptions for population *II*. Although these values change over time, in that follows, in order to simplify the notation we omit the temporal variable.

The expected value of the strategies  $i = 1, 2$  of a player from population *I* are respectively

$$E_{I1} = (a_{11} - a_{12})p_{II1} + a_{12}$$

$$E_{I2} = (a_{21} - a_{22})p_{II1} + a_{22}.$$

Analogously for players of the population *II*,

$$E_{II1} = (b_{11} - b_{12})p_{I1} + b_{12}$$

$$E_{II2} = (b_{21} - b_{22})p_{I1} + b_{22}.$$

We assume that the values of the payoffs for each population are different from each other. So, at most, there is only one mixed Nash Equilibrium strategy and it is given by the solutions of the following system equations:

$$E_{I1} = E_{I2} \tag{1}$$

$$E_{II1} = E_{II2}$$

According with our model the simultaneous solution is given by

$$x^* = H/K \text{ and } y^* = H'/K'.$$

Where

$$H = (a_{11} - a_{12}) - (a_{21} - a_{22}), \quad K = a_{22} - a_{12},$$

$$H' = (b_{11} - b_{12}) - (b_{21} - b_{22}), \quad K' = b_{22} - b_{12}.$$

There a mixed Nash Equilibrium strategy if and only if:

$$0 < x^* = H/K < 1 \text{ and } 0 < y^* = H'/K' < 1. \tag{2}$$

If the inequalities are not verified then there is not mixed Nash Equilibrium.

### 3 The replicator dynamics

The concept of replicator dynamics assumes two or more large population of replicators, in which different types meet in proportion to their share in each population. This meeting - i.e. the interaction of different replicators (e.g. different strategies in a game) - generates payoffs. Replicators reproduce with regard to their payoffs in relation to the payoffs of others. The general idea is that replicators whose payoffs are larger (smaller) than the average payoffs of the population will increase (decrease) their share inside each the population. Summarizing, according with the replicator dynamics, the proportion of individuals who follow the most successful behavior tends to increase in each population. While the proportion of individuals who follow behaviors with lower than average results tends to decrease.

This evolutive process is modelled by the replicator dynamics. In the case of games involving two population whose individuals have two feasible strategies, this dynamics is given by the next system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= x(1-x)[Hy - K] \\ \dot{y} &= y(1-y)[H'x - K']\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

The dynamical equilibria are  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$  and  $(x^*, y^*)$ .  
The linear approximation of this system is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-2\bar{x})[H\bar{y} - K] & \bar{x}(1-\bar{x})H \\ \bar{y}(1-\bar{y})H' & (1-2\bar{y})H'\bar{x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\quad (4)$$

The eigenvalues are given by the equation

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} (1-2x^*)[Hy^* - K] - \lambda & x^*(1-x^*)H \\ y^*(1-y^*)H' & (1-2y)H'x^* - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0.\quad (5)$$

We have the following possibilities:

1. The simplest cases correspond to those in which there are dominant strategies. In this case the inequalities given in (2) are not verified.
  - The stationary points are given by  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  or  $(1, 1)$ . There exist only one Nash equilibrium, depending on the values of the parameter  $H, K, H'$  and  $K'$  which will be one of these dynamical equilibria. In addition it will be globally asymptotically stable for the replicator in the interior of the square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

2. The other cases are those in which the inequalities (2) are verified. So, we can write the equalities

$$K = x^*H, \text{ and } K' = y^*H'.\quad (6)$$

Thus, the following equations hold:

$$K + H = (1-x^*)H, \text{ and } K' + H' = (1-y^*)H'\quad (7)$$

where  $0 < x^* < 1$ ,  $0 < y^* < 1$ . Substituting (6) and (7) in equation (5), we have that the eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda = \pm \sqrt{x^*y^*(1-x^*)(1-y^*)HH'}$$

Note that the sign of the expression under the radical depends only on the sign of the product  $HH'$ . So, we have the following situations:

- (a) If  $HH' > 0$  then we have two real eigenvalues and the next two possibilities:

- i. As in [Accinelli, E.; Bazzano, B.; Robledo, F. and Romero, P.] the game has two complementary equilibria being one of them Pareto superior: This occurs if for instance  $a_2 > c_1$ ,  $a_1 > b_1$  and  $d_1 > c_1$ ,  $d_2 > b_2$  then:  $(I_1, J_1)$  and  $(I_2, J_2)$  are Nash equilibria. Note that under the hypothesis of this model we have that

$$H > 0, K < 0, H' > 0, K' < 0, H + K > 0, H' + K' > 0.$$

Then we have two real eigenvalues with different signals.

- ii. It corresponds to a situation which there are two Nash equilibria exist in pure strategies: 1) Individuals of the population *II* prefer strategy 2 and the individuals in population *I* prefer strategy 1., or reciprocally. In general if  $b_2 > a_2$ ,  $b_1 > d_1$  and  $c_2 > d_1$ ,  $c_1 > a_1$ .

$$H < 0, K > 0, H' < 0, K' > 0, H + K < 0, H' + K' < 0.$$

Even in this case the eigenvalues will have the form given by the equation (5) and from the mathematical point of view we will find that these two equilibria are both asymptotically stable for the dynamics of the replicator. Depending on the initial conditions, it will converge to one or another equilibrium.

- (b) If  $HH' < 0$  then there is no Nash Equilibrium in pure strategies, and the only mixed Nash Equilibrium is the center of a set of continuous periodic orbits. The position of the center will depend on the values of the parameterd.

## 4 Concluding Remarks

We have made an exhaustive analysis of the interaction of two populations, where individuals in each population can choose between two possible strategies. These two populations are immersed in a conflict that is repeated continuously. We considered the different cases that arise from the use of replicator dynamics. We pay special attention to the study of the stability of the equilibria corresponding to the dynamics of the replicator, and their relationship with the Nash equilibria of a conflict modeled as a normal two populations two strategy game.

This analysis could be useful for application in several cases in which two populations are involved in a conflict with similar characteristics to those studied here

## References

- [Accinelli, E.; Bazzano, B.; Robledo, F. and Romero, P.] ‘Nash Equilibrium in Evolutionary Competitive Models of Firms and workers.’ E. Accinelli, B. Bazzano, F. Robledo and P. Romero. *Journal of Dynamics and Games*. Vol. 2, no.1, pp1-32. (2015)
- [Accinelli E. y O. Salas.] “El Estado de bienestar como un bien público no excluible.” *Estudios Económicos*. vol. 34, No. 2, julio-diciembre 2019, pp 243-273.

- [Accinelli, E.; Sánchez Carrera, E.; Policardo, L.; Salas, O.] Free Mobility of Capital and Labor Force in a Two-Country Model: The Dynamic Game for Growth” *Journal of Dynamics and Games*. Volume 7/1 April 2019
- [Accinelli, E.; Martins, F.; Oviedo, J.; Pinto, A.; and Quintas, L.]. “Who controls the controller? A dynamical model of corruption” *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, Volume 41, Issue 4, 2017 Pages: 220-247.
- [Accinelli, E.; Covarrubias, E.] ‘Evolution and jump in a Walrasian framework” *Journal of Dynamics and Games*. Vol. 3, no. 3 July 2016.
- [Accinelli E.; Carrera, E.] “Corruption driven by imitative behavior” *Economics Letters* vol 117, pp. 84-87; May 2012.
- [Borkar V. S., Jain, S and Rangarajan, G] “Generalized Replicator Dynamics as a Model of Specialization and Diversity in Societies” *Advances in Complex Systems* Vol. 01, No. 04, pp. 325-359 (1998).
- [Cressman, R. and Tao, Y] “The replicator equation and other game dynamics” *PNAS* July 22, 2014 111 (Supplement 3) 10810-10817; July 14, 2014.
- [Hofbauer, J., and Sigmund, K.] Evolutionary game dynamics *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 40, 479-519. (2003)

# PERSPECTIVAS

*Revista de Análisis de Economía, Comercio y Negocios Internacionales*

---

## Lineamientos para los autores

1. Los artículos para ser publicados en PERSPECTIVAS. Revista de Análisis de Economía, Comercio y Negocios Internacionales deberán contener material original que no haya sido publicado, ni presentado a otro medio para su publicación. Los autores conceden permiso a la revista para que su trabajo se difunda en otros medios.
2. El envío de los trabajos puede hacerse vía correo electrónico a la dirección [william.olvera@uaslp.mx](mailto:william.olvera@uaslp.mx) o [revista.perspectivas@uaslp.mx](mailto:revista.perspectivas@uaslp.mx), procesados en LaTeX o Microsoft Word.
3. Los trabajos pueden estar escritos en español o inglés. En caso de aceptación de trabajos en inglés, el autor será responsable de la forma estilística del manuscrito.
4. La primera página deberá de incluir: a) el título del trabajo; b) el(los) nombre(s) y los apellidos del autor(es), ordenados alfabéticamente por primer apellido en caso de varios autores; c) institución de adscripción; d) correo electrónico; e) resumen del contenido tanto en inglés como en español que no excederán 10 líneas ninguno de los dos; f) palabras claves que faciliten su clasificación y g) los códigos JEL de clasificación internacional de la temática del artículo.
5. Los cuadros y gráficos deben estar numerados correlativamente en el sistema arábigo (Cuadro 1, 2, 3, etc.) y con sus respectivas fuentes de información. También deberán incluir un título y una leyenda, estando colocando ambos en la parte inferior de la misma. En particular, los gráficos deberán presentarse en blanco y negro (no a colores), pero que puedan permitir su lectura y visibilidad.
6. Las citas de libros y artículos se indicarán mencionando el apellido y el año entre paréntesis, ejemplo: (Ampuero, 1987). Las notas a pie de página irán integradas al texto, con las llamadas respectivas. Se recomienda utilizar el número de llamadas estrictamente necesarias.
7. Se debe incluir la bibliografía de todas las obras citadas sin omitir dato alguno al final del documento. Ésta deberá presentarse en orden alfabético tomando en cuenta el primer apellido del autor(es), seguido por la(s) inicial(es) de su(s) nombre(s), año de publicación, título, nombre de la revista, volumen, número (entre paréntesis), páginas. Por ejemplo:

Asheim G. B. and Mitra T. (2010), “Sustainability and discounted utilitarianism in models of economic growth”, *Mathematical Social Sciences*. 59(2): 148-169.

8. La dirección de la revista se reserva el derecho de sugerir los cambios que se requieran para su publicación.
9. PERSPECTIVAS proporciona acceso abierto inmediato a sus contenidos bajo el principio que mantener la investigación a disposición del público de manera gratuita favorece el intercambio de conocimiento. Por ello, permite el uso ilimitado, distribución y reproducción en cualquier medio, siempre que la obra original sea debidamente citada y cuando no se restrinja a otros a hacer cualquier uso permitido por la licencia.



# PERSPECTIVAS

*Revista de Análisis de Economía, Comercio y Negocios Internacionales*

---

## Guide for authors

1. The articles to be published in PERSPECTIVAS. Revista de Análisis de Economía, Comercio y Negocios Internacionales must contain original material that has not been published or submitted to another medium for publication. The authors grant permission to the magazine to disseminate their work in other media.
2. Articles can be submitted via e-mail to [william.olvera@uaslp.mx](mailto:william.olvera@uaslp.mx) or [revista.perspectivas@uaslp.mx](mailto:revista.perspectivas@uaslp.mx), processed in LaTeX or Microsoft Word.
3. Articles may be written in Spanish or English languages. In case of acceptance of papers in English, the author is responsible for the stylistic form of the manuscript.
4. The first page should include: a) the title of the work; b) the name(s) and surname of the author(s) in alphabetical order according to the last name (if it is necessary); c) institution of affiliation; d) e-mail address; e) abstract in both English and Spanish languages that do not exceed 10 lines each; f) keywords to facilitate their classification and g) JEL classification.
5. Tables and figures should be numbered consecutively in Arabic system (Table 1, 2, 3, etc.) And their respective sources. They should also include a title and an explanation at the bottom of the table/figure. In particular, the figures should be in black and white (not color), but which may allow reading and visibility.
6. References of books and articles will be indicated by mentioning the name and year in parentheses, eg (Ampuero, 1987). The footnotes to the text page will be integrated with respective calls. We recommend using the number of necessary calls.
7. References should include a bibliography of all works cited without omitting any data at end of document. This should be presented in alphabetical order by taking into account the surname of the author(s) followed by the initial(s) of name(s), year of publication, title, journal name, volume , number (in parentheses), pp. For example:

Asheim G. B. and Mitra T. (2010), “Sustainability and discounted utilitarianism in models of economic growth”, *Mathematical Social Sciences*. 59(2): 148-169.

8. The journal editorial board reserves the right to suggest changes that are required for publication.
9. PERSPECTIVAS provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that to keep research available to the public free of charge promotes the exchange of knowledge. Therefore, it permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited and when it does not restrict to others to make any use permitted by the license

# PERSPECTIVAS

*Revista de Análisis de Economía, Comercio y Negocios Internacionales*

---

## Proceso de Evaluación

En todo material recibido, el director de la revista evalúa la pertinencia temática del documento y se somete a evaluación del Consejo Editorial (pre-dictamen). Si esta evaluación es positiva, el artículo se turnará a uno o dos dictaminadores externos.

Para este dictamen externo se cuenta con un padrón de investigadores especializados en diferentes temáticas de la economía y se procederá de acuerdo al método de doble ciego (autores y dictaminadores anónimos).

Las nuevas versiones de un artículo al que se le solicitaron cambios se deben enviar junto con una carta de los autores en que respondan a los dictámenes, aclarando los cambios introducidos al artículo y las aclaraciones que éstos consideren pertinentes.

Los evaluadores deberán emitir su opinión calificada en un lapso no mayor de tres meses, siguiendo los lineamientos establecidos en el “Formato de dictamen”.

Los posibles resultados de un dictamen son los siguientes:

1. Publicable sin modificaciones
2. Publicable, pero sujeto a las modificaciones propuestas
3. No publicable

El dictamen final es discutido por el Consejo Editorial e inmediatamente se le notifican los resultados de la evaluación a los autores vía correo electrónico.

En el caso de los artículos que hayan sido aceptados, el autor se compromete a incorporar las correcciones sugeridas por los evaluadores.



# Maestría en Economía Matemática



## Facultad de Economía, UASLP

La Maestría en Economía Matemática de la Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, es un programa pensado para la formación de especialistas de alto nivel y potencialidad de investigación en el área de las ciencias económicas y sus aspectos vinculados a la formulación de su modelado matemático; a elegir en dos especialidades: Economía Matemática o Economía Matemática Aplicada.

La planta académica está conformada por doctores egresados de prestigiosas universidades nacionales e internacionales. La mayoría pertenecientes al Sistema Nacional de Investigadores.

### Plan de estudios

#### PRIMER SEMESTRE

Análisis en varias variables  
Álgebra Lineal  
Probabilidad y Estadística  
Temas de Análisis Económico

#### SEGUNDO SEMESTRE

Econometría  
Dinámica  
Microeconomía I

Macroeconomía I

#### TERCER SEMESTRE

Microeconomía II  
Teoría de Juegos  
Macroeconomía II

#### CUARTO SEMESTRE

Seminario de investigación  
Seminario de tesis  
Optativa I

### Planta Académica Básica

Dr. Leobardo Pedro Plata Pérez  
Dr. Edgar Javier Sánchez Carrera  
Dr. Joss Erick Sánchez Pérez  
Dr. Pedro Isidoro González Ramírez  
Dr. Elvio Accinelli Gamba  
Dr. Luis Samuel Gil Martín  
Dra. Lilia María Del Riego  
Dr. Armando García Martínez

#### COORDINADOR DE LA MAESTRÍA:

Dr. William José Olvera López

#### INSCRIPCIONES:

A partir de octubre de 2017

INICIO PROPEDÉUTICO: 16/10/2017

INICIO DEL PROGRAMA:

06/02/2018



# **Orientación: Investigación de Tiempo Completo**

## **Materias Optativas:**

- ☛ Economía Dinámica
- ☛ Elección Social y Bienestar
- ☛ Teoría de Juegos cooperativos
- ☛ Equilibrio General
- ☛ Juegos Evolutivos
- ☛ Economía Evolutiva y
- ☛ Neoinstitucionalismo
- ☛ Fundamentos Teóricos del Desarrollo Humano y Social

## **Informes en:**

Facultad de Economía  
Av. Pintores S/N  
Col. Burocratas del Estado  
San Luis Potosí, SLP

Tels: (444) 8 34 25 10 ext. 7021

Pág. Web: <http://posgrados.eco.uaslp.mx>

Contacto: [posgrados@eco.uaslp.mx](mailto:posgrados@eco.uaslp.mx)

